

45 **2. Action:** Approve April 25, 2013 Minutes from joint TCC & TAC Meeting
46

47 Member Ivo Dernev noted his last name was misspelled on the front page of the minutes.
48 Change was noted. Member Drew Havens moved to approve the minutes with noted change.
49 Member John Chittick seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

50
51 Future minutes will have line numbers included.
52

53 **3. Presentation:** Project Prioritization – Patrick Flanagan
54

55 Mr. Flanagan requested that Item 7a be moved to immediately follow his presentation as it
56 corresponds. Mr. Flanagan discussed the Strategic Mobility Formula, and how funds are
57 allocated beginning at the Statewide Level, trickling down to the Regional Level and finally to
58 the Division Level. He explained the percentage of funds for each level and how a points system
59 determines priority within each level. Projects should be carefully considered, for if a project is
60 not funded from the statewide funds, it will come from regional funds and if not allocated
61 properly, could impact the available amount for other projects.
62

63 The new requirements mean any project scheduled after July 1, 2015 will be subject to the new
64 formula requirements. Projects already scheduled to begin prior to July 1, 2015 will not be
65 subjected to the new formula, progressing as scheduled.
66

67 Mr. Flanagan explained the points system; projects will be scored on a 0-100 point scale. There
68 will be an incentive for local funding of highway projects only, meaning that 50% of the local
69 commitment on a project using non-State/Federal funds will be returned to the local area to use
70 on other projects in the same vicinity.
71

72 Proposed Eligibility Definitions for highways and non-highways were broken down by each
73 level; Statewide, Regional and Division. Proposed Highway Project Scoring weights and criteria
74 at each level were provided.
75

76 Additional information was provided on the following topics:

- 77 • Proposed eligibility definitions for highways and non-highways
- 78 • Proposed highway project scoring weights and criteria
- 79 • Changes in proposed Senate Legislation were provided. Mr. Flanagan noted that
80 Economic Competiveness had been deleted as criteria from each level.
- 81 • Prioritization formula for non-highway criteria
- 82 • Investment strategy formula for statewide strategic mobility projects
- 83 • Highway Statewide mobility criteria was provided, showing the weight from both
84 the House and the Senate.
85

86 Options for Investment strategies across the state were provided, pertaining to Regional Impact
87 and Division needs. Mr. Flanagan advised there are two options; the Workgroup will assist the
88 Department in determining a ‘default’ strategy across the state. Or the parties in the region
89 (MPO/RPO/Division Engineer) may create their own project formula, but all participating

90 jurisdictions must agree and decide upon it no later than July 1, 2013. Criteria for both strategies
91 was provided.

92

93 Mr. Flanagan provided Key Dates to the group. The 'go live' date is January 2, 2014.

94

95 Mr. Flanagan explained that once points are assigned to a project, there will be a 30-60 day
96 public comment period before the project list is finalized.

97

98 Mr. Flanagan provided information on Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT)
99 group, explaining that a representative from the NBAMPO should be appointed and will attend
100 future meetings. They are scheduled to meet every two months. This group consists of all MPO
101 and RPO's east of I-95.

102

103 **4. Item 7(a): Other Business: Wilmington H817 – Strategic Transportation Investments**

104

105 **5. Action: 5307 Transit Funds – Terry Jordan**

106

107 Mr. Terry Jordan discussed allocating the Transit Funds to CARTS. A recommendation from the
108 TCC to the TAC for approval is the initial step. Then a resolution will be sent to the state
109 authorizing this allocation. As identified in the meeting, the resolution, which is required to
110 come from a Government Board, must come from our county commissioners upon consulting
111 with the NBAMPO.

112

113 A motion was made to recommend formal designation of CARTS as recipient of the 5307
114 Transit Funds. Motion was seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

115

116 It was advised that there could be no reimbursement of funds until the PWP is in place. The
117 language for the motion should include reference to the most current copy of the PWP. The
118 PWP is a living document and therefore can be altered at any time.

119

120 Member Drew Havens moved to approve recommendation to the TAC to adopt the PWP.
121 Member John Chittick seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

122

123 **6. Action: Calendar of Meetings for 2013 & 2014**

124

125 Calendar of meeting schedule for the remainder of 2013 as well as 2014 was reviewed. Member
126 John Chittick moved to approve the meeting schedule as submitted. Motion was seconded.
127 Motion passed unanimously.

128

129

130 There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

131

132

133

134


Jeffrey Ruggieri, Chairman


Kim Langley, Secretary

